UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL MINUTES— GENERAL

| Case N | No. | 2:23-cv-02652- | SSS-KKx | | Date | July 24, 2023 |
|------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------------|------|------------------------|
| Title | Title Alex Rojas v. Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation, et al. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Present: The Honorable SUNSHINE S. | | | | . SYKES, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | Irene Vazquez | | | Not | Reported |
| | | Irene Vazquez Deputy Clerk | | | | Reported t Reporter |
| Att | torney | | | Attorn | Cour | 1 |

Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) Order to Show Cause Why Sanctions Should Not Be Imposed Against Plaintiff's and Defendants' Counsel for Failure to File a Rule 26(f) Report

On June 2, 2023, the Court issued an order setting the Scheduling Conference for August 4, 2023 [Dkt. 26]. Pursuant to Rule 26(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the parties are to file their Joint Rule 26(f) Report not later than 14 days before the Scheduling Conference. As of today's date, the parties have not filed a Joint Rule 26(f) Report.

Accordingly, the Court hereby **ORDERS** counsel for Plaintiff, Dale Alan Harris; David Covington Garrett; and Min Ji Gal, and counsel for Defendants, Blake R. Bertagna and Stephen L. Berry, to show cause why they should not each be sanctioned in the amount of \$250 for their failure to file a timely Joint Rule 26(f) Report. Counsel are **DIRECTED** to respond in writing to this Order to Show Cause by **Monday**, **July 31**, **2023**, **at 12:00 noon**. Counsel's failure to respond—or counsel's filing of an inadequate response—may result in the imposition of additional sanctions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.